

Natural Resources Conservation Service
Application Ranking Summary
Lea SWCD

Program: EQIP 2002	Ranking Date:
Ranking Tool: Lea SWCD	
Final Ranking Score:	
Planner:	
Farm Location:	

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable reductions of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides, excess salinity in impaired watersheds, groundwater contamination or point source contamination from confined animal feeding operations?	60 Point(s)
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable amount of ground or surface water conservation?	60 Point(s)
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?	60 Point(s)
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural land?	20 Point(s)
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?	50 Point(s)

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Irr. Crop #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	50 Point(s)
2. Irr. Crop #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	50 Point(s)
3. Irr. Crop #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	50 Point(s)
4. Irr. Crop #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	50 Point(s)
5. Irr. Crop #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	50 Point(s)
6. Grazing #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	50 Point(s)
7. Grazing #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	50 Point(s)
8. Grazing #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	50 Point(s)
9. Grazing #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	50 Point(s)
10. Grazing #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	50 Point(s)
11. Wildlife (Playa Lakes) #1- Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced? At least one practice that directly benefits improved habitat will be included in the contract?	50 Point(s)
12. Wildlife (Playa Lakes) #2 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	50 Point(s)
13. Wildlife (Playa Lakes) #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	50 Point(s)
14. Wildlife (Playa Lakes) #4 - Treatment of the land outside of the playa will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	50 Point(s)
15. Wildlife (Playa Lakes) #5 - Treatment of the land outside of the playa will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	50 Point(s)

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Grazing lands #1 - Does this applicant have a terminated EQIP contract for non-compliance?	-50 Point(s)

Natural Resources Conservation Service
Application Ranking Summary
Lea SWCD

Issue Questions	Responses
2. Grazing lands #2 - Applicant is implementing or will implement prescribed grazing system after treatment? If no, application will be considered low priority.	55 Point(s)
3. Select YES to only one of questions # 3-5. Grazing lands #3 - Will invasive brush species of low infestations be addressed?	35 Point(s)
4. Grazing lands #4 - Will invasive brush species of medium infestations be addressed?	20 Point(s)
5. Grazing lands #5 - Will invasive brush species of high infestations be addressed?	10 Point(s)
6. Grazing lands #6 - Will invasive brush species be addressed on 76-100% of acreage with invasive brush species?	35 Point(s)
7. Grazing lands #7 - Will invasive brush species be addressed on 51-75% of acreage with invasive brush species?	20 Point(s)
8. Grazing lands #8 -Will invasive brush species be addressed on 26-50% of acreage with invasive brush species?	10 Point(s)
9. Grazing lands #9 -Will invasive brush species be addressed on 0-25% of acreage with invasive brush species?	5 Point(s)
10. Grazing lands #10 - Will this application include developing livestock water systems (pipeline, troughs)?	15 Point(s)
11. Grazing lands #11 - Will this application include cross-fencing pastures for better herd management?	10 Point(s)
12. Grazing lands #12 - Will applicant defer grazing 6 months or the entire growing season on 25% of contracted acres?	40 Point(s)
13. Grazing lands #13 -Will applicant defer grazing 4 consecutive months of growing season on 25% of contracted acres?	35 Point(s)
14. Grazing lands #14 -Will applicant defer grazing 3 consecutive months of growing season on 25% of contracted acres?	20 Point(s)
15. Grazing lands #15 -Will applicant defer grazing 2 consecutive months of growing season on 25% of contracted acres?	10 Point(s)
16. Grazing lands #16 - Will this application address reduction of soil erosion (diversion, critical area, range planting)?	5 Point(s)
17. Grazing lands #17 - Will this applicaiton increase the habitat suitability for upland wildlife species (guzzler)?	10 Point(s)
18. Grazing lands #18 -Will this application address land within 2 miles of LPC lek site and provide pasture deferment?	15 Point(s)
19. Grazing lands #19 - Will this application address 3 resource concerns?	60 Point(s)
20. Grazing lands #20 - Will this application address 2 resource concerns?	30 Point(s)
21. Grazing lands #21 - Will this application address 1 resource concern?	10 Point(s)
22. Grazing lands #22 - Will this application address primary resource concerns as determined by the LWG?	60 Point(s)
23. Grazing lands #23 - Will this application address secondary resource concerns as determined by the LWG?	30 Point(s)
24. Grazing lands #24 - Will this application address minor resource concerns as determined by the LWG?	10 Point(s)
25. Grazing lands #25 - Will the practices implemented through this application be new?	60 Point(s)
26. Grazing lands #26 - Will the practices implemented through this application be considered replacements?	20 Point(s)
27. Irrigated cropland #1 - Does this applicant have a terminated EQIP contract for non-compliance?	-50 Point(s)
28. Irrigated cropland #2 - Has the field been double cropped 2 of the last 3 years?	-50 Point(s)
29. Irrigated cropland #3 - Will application reduce the volume of water pumped from the aquifer?	100 Point(s)

Natural Resources Conservation Service
Application Ranking Summary
Lea SWCD

Issue Questions	Responses
30. Irrigated cropland #4 - Will irrigation practices increase irrigation efficiency by >40% (NRCS FIRS)?	45 Point(s)
31. Irrigated cropland #5 - Will irrigation practices increase irrigation efficiency by 34-40% (NRCS FIRS)?	30 Point(s)
32. Irrigated cropland #6 - Will irrigation practices increase irrigation efficiency by 28-33% (NRCS FIRS)?	15 Point(s)
33. Irrigated cropland #7 - Will irrigation practices increase irrigation efficiency by 21-27% (NRCS FIRS)?	10 Point(s)
34. Irrigated cropland #8 - Will irrigation practices increase irrigation efficiency by at least 20% (NRCS FIRS)?	5 Point(s)
35. Irrigated cropland #9 - Will a center pivot sprinkler be converted to LESA or LEPA by renozzling?	35 Point(s)
36. Irrigated cropland #10 - Will a LEPA center pivot irrigation system replace surface or sideroll irrigation?	25 Point(s)
37. Irrigated cropland #11 - Will a subsurface drip irrigaiton be installed on 15 acres or less?	20 Point(s)
38. Irrigated cropland #12 - Will a subsurface drip irrigation be installed on 16-30 acres?	15 Point(s)
39. Irrigated cropland #13 - Will a subsurface drip irrigaiton be installed on 31-60 acres?	10 Point(s)
40. Irrigated cropland #14 - Will a chemigation valve to installed?	15 Point(s)
41. Irrigated cropland #15 - Will a flowmeter be installed?	10 Point(s)
42. Irrigated cropland #16 - Will new pipeline be installed (tying old wells to new pivots)?	10 Point(s)
43. Irrigated cropland #17 - Will application reduce wind erosion by range seeding or field windbreaks?	20 Point(s)
44. Irrigated cropland #18 - Will application result in an increase in habitat suitability for upland wildlife species?	15 Point(s)
45. Irrigated cropland #19 - Will this application address 3 resource concerns?	50 Point(s)
46. Irrigated cropland #20 - Will this application address 2 resource concerns?	30 Point(s)
47. Irrigated cropland #21 - Will this application address 1 resource concern?	10 Point(s)
48. Irrigated cropland #22 - Will this application address primary resource concerns as determined by the LWG?	50 Point(s)
49. Irrigated cropland #23 - Will this application address secondary resource concerns as determined by the LWG?	30 Point(s)
50. Irrigated cropland #24 - Will this application address minor resource concerns as determined by the LWG?	10 Point(s)
51. Irrigated cropland #25 - Will the practices implemented through this application be new?	50 Point(s)
52. Irrigated cropland #26 - Will the practices implemented through this application be considered replacements?	20 Point(s)
53. Wildlife (playas) #1 - Does this applicant have a terminated EQIP contract for non-compliance?	-50 Point(s)
54. Wildlife (playas) #2 - Will this application include yearlong pasture deferment?	35 Point(s)
55. Wildlife (playas) #3 - Will this application include nesting season deferment, April -Sept?	20 Point(s)
56. Wildlife (playas) #4 - Will this application contain land within 2 miles of an active LEP lek site or presence of long billed curlews?	20 Point(s)
57. Wildlife (playas) #5 - Will this application include prescribed grazing for 3 years at \$100/ac for playa and buffer area and \$7.50/ac for surrounding area (up to 320 ac maximum)?	35 Point(s)
58. Wildlife (playas) #6 - Will this application include prescribed grazing at 100/ac for playa and buffer?	25 Point(s)

Natural Resources Conservation Service
Application Ranking Summary
Lea SWCD

Issue Questions	Responses
59. Wildlife (playas) #7 - Will this application include prescribed grazing on pivot corners for 3 years at \$100/ac (up to a 320 ac maximum)?	25 Point(s)
60. Wildlife (playas) #8 - Is surrounding vegetation native grasses?	15 Point(s)
61. Wildlife (playas) #9 - Is surrounding vegetation CRP grasses?	10 Point(s)
62. Wildlife (playas) #10 - Is surrounding vegetation considered cropland?	5 Point(s)
63. Wildlife (playas) #11 - Will application include planting pivot corners to native grass with a shrub component?	10 Point(s)
64. Wildlife (playas) #12 - Will application include planting pivot corners to native grass?	5 Point(s)
65. Wildlife (playas) #13 - Will application include fencing playa/pasture for better herd management and playa protection?	10 Point(s)
66. Wildlife (playas) #14 - Will application include developing livestock water systems?	10 Point(s)
67. Wildlife (playas) #15 - Will application include yearlong wildlife water?	10 Point(s)
68. Wildlife (playas) #16 - Will application reduce soil erosion by installing diversions or critical area treatments?	5 Point(s)
69. Wildlife (playas) #17 - Will application increase the habitat suitability for upland wildlife species by providing water or shelter?	5 Point(s)
70. Wildlife (playas) #18 - Will application include playas with actual playa bottom size of > or = 15 acres?	20 Point(s)
71. Wildlife (playas) #19 - Will application include playas with actual playa bottom size of 10-14 acres?	15 Point(s)
72. Wildlife (playas) #20 - Will application include playas with actual playa bottom size of 5-9 acres?	10 Point(s)
73. Wildlife (playas) #21 - Will application include playas with actual playa bottom size of < 5 acres?	5 Point(s)
74. Wildlife (playas) #22 - Is the proximity to other playas less than 500 feet?	40 Point(s)
75. Wildlife (playas) #23 - Is the proximity to other playas 501 - 1500 feet?	30 Point(s)
76. Wildlife (playas) #24 - Is the proximity to other playas 1501 - 5000 feet?	20 Point(s)
77. Wildlife (playas) #25 - Is the proximity to other playas 5001 - 8000 feet?	10 Point(s)
78. Wildlife (playas) #26 - Is the proximity to other playas 8001 - 10,000 feet?	5 Point(s)
79. Wildlife (playas) #27 - Is surrounding Soil Erodibility Index 48?	10 Point(s)
80. Wildlife (playas) #28 - Is surrounding Soil Erodibility Index 56?	8 Point(s)
81. Wildlife (playas) #29 - Is surrounding Soil Erodibility Index 86?	6 Point(s)
82. Wildlife (playas) #30 - Is surrounding Soil Erodibility Index 134?	4 Point(s)
83. Wildlife (playas) #31 - Is surrounding Soil Erodibility Index 220?	2 Point(s)
84. Wildlife (playas) #32 - Will this application address 2 resource concerns?	50 Point(s)
85. Wildlife (playas) #33 - Will this application address 1 resource concern?	30 Point(s)
86. Wildlife (playas) #34 - Will this application address primary resource concerns as determined by the LWG?	50 Point(s)
87. Wildlife (playas) #35 - Will this application address secondary resource concerns as determined by the LWG?	30 Point(s)
88. Wildlife (playas) #36 - Will this application address minor resource concerns as determined by the LWG?	10 Point(s)
89. Wildlife (playas) #37 - Will the practices implemented through this application be new?	50 Point(s)
90. Wildlife (playas) #38 - Will the practices implemented through this application be considered replacements?	20 Point(s)
91. Lesser Prairie Chicken Lost Draw Watershed #1 - Does this applicant have a terminated EQIP contract for non-compliance?	-50 Point(s)
92. Lesser Prairie Chicken Lost Draw Watershed #2 - Will this application lead to the use of a more intensive or improved rotational grazing system?	100 Point(s)
93. Lesser Prairie Chicken Lost Draw Watershed #3 - Is the entire ranch currently operating at the RMS level or will it be?	10 Point(s)

Natural Resources Conservation Service
Application Ranking Summary
Lea SWCD

Issue Questions	Responses
94. Select YES to only one of questions # 94-95. Lesser Prairie Chicken Lost Draw Watershed #4 - Will wind erosion be reduced by treating and restoring a critical area?	10 Point(s)
95. Lesser Prairie Chicken Lost Draw Watershed #5 - Will the agreed to management reduce the soil erosion concern? (If dune areas are treated to remove shinnery, NRCS will not participate in the contract)	15 Point(s)
96. Select YES to only one of questions # 96-97. Lesser Prairie Chicken Lost Draw Watershed #6 - Will water erosion be reduced by installing diversions?	10 Point(s)
97. Lesser Prairie Chicken Lost Draw Watershed #7 - Will water erosion be reduced by installing small erosion control structures?	5 Point(s)
98. Lesser Prairie Chicken Lost Draw Watershed #8 - Will shinnery oak be treated at low rate, .5 to .75 lb/ac Tebuthiuron on < 75% of any pasture, with motts and islands left untreated. Note: All sandhill sites (dunes) within the project area will not be treated for any brush species.	60 Point(s)
99. Select YES to only one of questions # 99-101. Lesser Prairie Chicken Lost Draw Watershed #9 - Will acreage treated for brush be deferred from grazing for next entire growing season?	75 Point(s)
100. Lesser Prairie Chicken Lost Draw Watershed #10 - Will contract include prescribed grazing management (528a)?	50 Point(s)
101. Lesser Prairie Chicken Lost Draw Watershed #11 - Will a new cross-fence installation result in improved pasture rotation?	40 Point(s)
102. Select YES to only one of questions # 102-104. Lesser Prairie Chicken Lost Draw Watershed #12 - Will contract include treatment of heavy infestation brush species? (other than shinnery oak)	20 Point(s)
103. Lesser Prairie Chicken Lost Draw Watershed #13 - Will contract include treatment of medium infestation brush species? (other than shinnery oak)	15 Point(s)
104. Lesser Prairie Chicken Lost Draw Watershed #14 - Will contract include treatment of light infestation brush species? (other than shinnery oak)	10 Point(s)
105. Lesser Prairie Chicken Lost Draw Watershed #15 - Will new water development result in improved livestock distribution?	25 Point(s)
106. Lesser Prairie Chicken Lost Draw Watershed #16 - Will a wildlife water guzzler be installed?	10 Point(s)
107. Select YES to only one of questions # 107-108. Lesser Prairie Chicken Lost Draw Watershed #17 - On Sand Hills, Sandy, Sandy Plains, and Deep Sand Ecological sites, will tall warm season grasses be managed (deferred) to leave residual cover of 13 inches during spring nesting season, July 1 - March 1. (10% of rebel pole measurements yield obscurity of 13 inches or better)	25 Point(s)
108. Lesser Prairie Chicken Lost Draw Watershed #18 - Will shrub plots be established?	10 Point(s)
109. Lesser Prairie Chicken Lost Draw Watershed #19 - Will residual cover be provided thru grazing deferment of an area > 1500 acres within 2 miles of an active lek site?	50 Point(s)
110. Lesser Prairie Chicken Lost Draw Watershed #20 - Will residual cover be provided thru grazing deferment of an area 1000 - 1499 acres within 2 miles of an active lek site?	40 Point(s)
111. Lesser Prairie Chicken Lost Draw Watershed #21 - Will residual cover be provided thru grazing deferment of an area 640 - 999 acres within 2 miles of an active lek site?	30 Point(s)